Nuclear structure with exotic beams

Lecture 3:
Nuclear Reactions II
Transfer Reactions
Re-arrangement reactions

- $a+A \to b+B$ or $A(a,b)B$
- Nuclei are transformed, nucleons are exchanged ($b \neq a$, $B \neq A$)
- We’ll focus on simple processes – “Direct” reactions
- We need to use some of what we learned about elastic scattering.
Direct transfer reactions

Adding nucleon(s) to A:
“x” is transferred from a to A, making $B = A + x$ and $b = a - x$

Known as “Stripping”
x can be one or more nucleons
Direct transfer reactions

Removing nucleon(s) from A:
“x” is transferred from A to b, making \( B = A - x \) and \( b = a + x \)

Known as “Pickup”

\( x \) can be one or more nucleons
Advantages to direct transfer

• It is Selective
  • Single-nucleon transfer preferentially populates simple states with strong “single-particle” character
  • Important for understanding the nature of single-particle levels, especially interesting now in the era of “modified shell structure” in exotic nuclei
  • Different reactions probe different amplitudes

• It is “Easy” to understand
  • Reaction mechanism is relatively simple – a single-step transition between two states

• The cross sections tend to be “large”
  • 1 to 10s of mb/sr for single particle stripping & pickup
Momentum Matching: Larger $I_{\text{transfer}}$ means larger angle

\[ q^2 = k_i^2 + k_f^2 - 2k_i k_f \cos \theta \]

angular momentum of the transferred particle = $qR = l$, or $q = l/R$

This roughly determines the best angle for transfer:

\[ \theta_{\text{max}} = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{k_f^2 + k_i^2 - (l/R)^2}{2k_f k_i} \right) \]
Early \((d,p)\) theory and data from Phys. Rev. 80 (1950)
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\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \propto \left| \int_{R_B}^{\infty} j_L(qr)u_{nl}(r)rdr \right|^2 \approx \left| j_L(qR_B) \right|^2
\]

\(R_B\) is the “Butler radius”

Angular Distributions of Protons from the Reaction \(O^{16}(d,p)O^{17}\)

Hannah B. Burrows
University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England

W. M. Gibson
University of Bristol, Bristol, England

and

J. Rotblat
Medical College of St. Bartholomew’s Hospital, London, England
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\(l=2\) \(J^\pi=3/2^+\) or \(5/2^+\)

\(l=0\) \(J^\pi=1/2^+\)

Fig. 1. \(O^{16}(d,p)O^{17}\) angular distributions in the center-of-mass (c.m.) system: \(\phi\) = c.m. angle, \(\sigma(\phi)\) = c.m. differential cross section in arbitrary units. Curve \(a\) is for formation of \(O^{17}\) in the ground state, and curve \(b\) is for the 0.88-Mev excited state.
The shape tells you / – what about the rest?

I have calculated angular distributions resulting from such a stripping process by equating, at the nuclear surface, the exact wave function for a particle outside the nucleus to the interior wave function. After some simplification the resulting boundary equations can be solved in such a way that unknown properties of the nuclear wave functions affect the important parts of the distributions merely as a constant multiplying factor. The re-

(Butler, 1950)

...Known today as the “spectroscopic factor” $S$.
This contains all the interesting nuclear structure information
What does it mean and How do we get it?
How do we “measure” $S$??

• $S$ is not an experimental observable, so you cannot “measure” it.

• Does that mean $S$ is meaningless, as some might claim?

• I think no – meaningful values of $S$ can be deduced from comparisons between measured cross sections and the predictions of nuclear reaction models. (Typical is the Distorted Wave Born Approximation or DWBA).

• But then – $S$ is model dependent, so caveat emptor.

• We can try to deduce absolute or relative values of $S$. 
One-page summary of the DWBA for transfer: \((d,p)\)

Matrix element with nuclear structure

\[
T_{DWBA} = J \int d^3 r_b \int d^3 r_a \chi^-(\vec{k}_f, \vec{r}_b) \langle bB | V | aA \rangle \chi^+(\vec{k}_i, \vec{r}_a)
\]

"Form Factor"

(or “single-particle overlap” for \(B=A+x\))

Distorted waves from OM – many different incident and outgoing angular momenta

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{DWBA}}{d\Omega} = |T_{DWBA}|^2
\]

proton

deuteron
Neutron stripping: $^{90}\text{Zr}(d,p)^{91}\text{Zr}$

$(Q=4.97 \text{ MeV})$

$(d,p)$ is the prototypical direct-transfer reaction. $^2\text{H}$ is simple and loosely bound.

Colored lines indicate $\theta_{\text{max}}$ estimated from matching calculation.

The normalization between curves and data is interpreted as the Spectroscopic Factor.

Interpretation of $S$

• $S$ reflects the overlap between the initial and final states; $d\sigma/d\Omega \propto S$

• $S$ “measures” orbital vacancies (# of holes) for stripping, or orbital occupancies (# of particles) for pickup.

• McFarlane and French (Rev. Mod. Phys. 32, 1960):
  • $\#Holes = \Sigma C^2 S \times \frac{2J_F+1}{2J_i+1}$ (adding or “stripping”)
  • $\#Particles = \Sigma C^2 S_i$ (removing or “pickup”)
  • Sum is over all states that could have a particle in the orbital of interest

• Connection to resonances: $S_i = \gamma^2_i/\gamma^2_{SP}$ (“Schiffer’s anzatz”)
Spectroscopic factors from $^{90}\text{Zr}(d,p)^{91}\text{Zr}$

Neutron orbitals of interest:

- $1g_{7/2}$ : $l=4$
- $2d_{5/2}$ : $l=2$
- $2d_{3/2}$ : $l=2$
- $3s_{1/2}$ : $l=0$
- $1h_{11/2}$: $l=5$

No single state exhausts the total shell-model strength!

We can use this to deduce the order of single-particle orbitals
Example: $^{14}\text{B}$
(Lightest particle-stable N=9 nucleus)

$n^{+13}\text{B}_{g.s.}(3/2^-)$

We are interested in single-neutron $sd$ states
(recall first lecture and Talmi and Unna)

$2^{-}_2$ state is broad ($\Gamma \approx 1$ MeV)

$s_n = 0.969$ MeV

Most information is from $^{14}\text{C}(^7\text{Li},^7\text{Be})^{14}\text{B}$
and analogies to the $^{12}\text{B}$ spectrum.
More recent $^{14}\text{Be}$ $\beta$-decay work suggests
positive-parity levels not shown here

$^{14}\text{B}$

From most recent TUNL A=14 compilation (1991)
Simple picture for $^{13}\text{B}(d,p)^{14}\text{B}$

$^{13}\text{B}(J^\pi_{gs}=3/2^-) \rightarrow (d,p) \rightarrow ^{14}\text{B}$

$(d,p)$ populates single-neutron states in $^{14}\text{B}$

(schematic picture)
Simple picture for $^{13}\text{B}(d,p)^{14}\text{B}$

$(d,p)$ populates single-neutron states in $^{14}\text{B}$

(schematic picture)
Simple picture for $^{13}\text{B}(d,p)^{14}\text{B}$

$^{13}\text{B}(J^\pi_{gs}=3/2^-)$

$(d,p)$ populates single-neutron states in $^{14}\text{B}$

(schematic picture)
\( \nu(sd) \) states in \(^{14}\text{B} \) with \((d,p)\) (ignore the 0\(d_{3/2}\) orbital)

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(2^-) &= \alpha_2 \nu(1s_{1/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} + \beta_2 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \\
\psi(2^-) &= -\beta_2 \nu(1s_{1/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} + \alpha_2 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \\
\psi(1^-) &= \alpha_1 \nu(1s_{1/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} + \beta_1 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \\
\psi(1^-) &= -\beta_1 \nu(1s_{1/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} + \alpha_1 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \\
\psi(3^-) &= \alpha_3 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \\
\psi(4^-) &= \alpha_4 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

\((d,p)\) spectroscopic factors give us the \(\alpha's\) and the \(\beta's\)
$^{14}\text{B}$ Excitation-energy spectrum from HELIOS

Bedoor et al., PRC 88 011304 (2013)
\(^{13}\text{B}(d,p)^{14}\text{B}\) angular distributions

Blue: \(L=0\)
Red: \(L=2\)
Violet: \(L=0 + L=2\)

2\(^-\)(0.00): \(S_0=.71\) \(S_2=.17\)
1\(^-\)(0.65): \(S_0=0.96\) \(S_2=.06\)
3\(^-\)(1.38): \(S_2=1.00\) (fixed)
4\(^-\)(2.08): \(S_2=1.00\)

OMPs fit 30 MeV \(d+^{12}\text{C}, p+^{12,13}\text{C}\) elastic scattering

Bedoor et al., PRC 88 011304 (2013)
Sum rules with simple, pure states:

All $S = 1.0$ and $(2J_i+1=4)$

$$\text{#holes} = 1 \times \frac{5}{4} + 1 \times \frac{3}{4} = 2 \left(s_{1/2}\right)$$

$$\text{#holes} = 1 \times \frac{5}{4} + 1 \times \frac{3}{4} + 1 \times \frac{7}{4} + 1 \times \frac{9}{4} = 6 \left(d_{5/2}\right)$$

$$J_F = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
Sum rules with observed states:

Measured values of $S \,(2J_i+1=4)$

$\# holes = 0.17 \times \frac{5}{4} + 0.06 \times \frac{3}{4} + 1 \times \frac{7}{4} + 1 \times \frac{9}{4} = 4.3 \,(d_{5/2})$

$\# holes = 0.71 \times \frac{5}{4} + 0.96 \times \frac{3}{4} = 1.6 \,(s_{1/2})$

$J_F = \begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 3 & 4
\end{array}$

We’re missing two states!
Recovering the unobserved strength

\[ \psi(2^-) = \alpha_2 \nu(1s_{1/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} + \beta_2 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \]
\[ \psi(2^-) = -\beta_2 \nu(1s_{1/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} + \alpha_2 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \]
\[ \psi(1^-) = \alpha_1 \nu(1s_{1/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} + \beta_1 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \]
\[ \psi(1^-) = -\beta_1 \nu(1s_{1/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} + \alpha_1 \nu(0d_{5/2}) \pi(0p_{3/2})^{-1} \]

Assume \( \psi(2^-) \) and \( \psi(1^-) \) are the orthogonal partners of \( \psi(2^-) \) and \( \psi(1^-) \). We already know \( \alpha_2, \beta_2 \) and \( \alpha_1, \beta_1 \), so we can then guess the spectroscopic factors for \( \psi(2^-) \) and \( \psi(1^-) \).

Then:

Experimentally, \( \Sigma S(2J_f+1)/4=5.9 \) (\( L=2 \)) and 1.9 (\( L=0 \)),

Close to the sum-rule values of 6.0 and 2.0.
$^{13}\text{B}(d,p)^{14}\text{B}$

Spectroscopic factors

Excitation energies and relative spectroscopic factors from the shell model

Blue: $L=0$, $1s_{1/2}$

Red: $L=2$, $0d_{5/2}$
$^{13}\text{B}(d,p)^{14}\text{B}$

Spectroscopic factors

Excitation energies and relative spectroscopic factors from the shell model

Blue: $L=0, 1s_{1/2}$
Red: $L=2, 0d_{5/2}$

Dashed lines mark centroids of $1s_{1/2}$ and $0d_{5/2}$ strength
Evolution of $E(1s_{1/2})$ and $E(0d_{5/2})$ for $N=9$ with $Z$: (Remember Talmi and Unna)

Bedoor et al., PRC 88 011304 (2013)
Conclusions

• Scattering and transfer reactions can tell us a great deal about nuclear structure.

• We have to combine information from many different places to gain understanding.

• We must not forget that much of what we think we “know” we actually don’t – we surmise in the context of models, so we should be careful about our claims.

• Next time: techniques.